Al Farabi Kazakh National University International Relations Faculty Diplomatic Translation Department

APPROVED

Dean of the Faculty

Sairambayeva Z.T.

2024

METHODOLOGICAL COMPLEX OF THE DISCIPLINE

12512 «Foreign Language (professional)»

Specialties "7M02304 Translation Studies in the field of International and Legal Relations", "7M03112 International Relations", "7M03113 International Relations", "7M03114 Regional Studies", "7M04101 World Economy", "7M04142 World Economy", "7M04148 International business", "7M04201 International Law", "7M04202 International Law"

Course – 1 Semester – Autumn Credits – 5

SYLLABUS

Fall semester 2024-2025 academic year

Educational programs "7M02304 Translation Studies in the field of International and Legal Relations", "7M03112 International Relations", "7M03113 International Relations", "7M03114 Regional Studies", "7M04101 World Economy", "7M04142 World Economy", "7M04148 International business", "7M04201 International Law", "7M04202 International Law"

ID	Independent	work	Number o	fcredits		General	Independent work
and name of course	of the student (IWS)		Lectures (L)	Practical classes (PC)	Lab. classes (LC)	number of credits	of the student under the guidance of a teacher (IWST)
[12512] Foreign Language (professional)	4		-	5	-	5	6
	P	CADEMI	C INFORMA	TION ABOU	UT THE CO	DURSE	
Learning Format	Cycle, component	Lecture types		Types of practical	classes	Form and p	olatform final control
Offline	Profile course of elective component		•	discus problem-	,	Mr. College of College	(Standard) em (Offline)
Lecturer - (s)	Assan Kanagat	Aitbaiuly					
e-mail:	asan.kanagat@		lu.kz				
Phone:	87057621474						
Assistant - (s)	-						
e-mail:							
Phone:						comment of the control of	
		ACA	DEMIC CO	URSE PRESI	ENTATION	I	
Purpose of the course	F	Expected Lo	earning Outc	omes (LO) *		Indicators	of LO achievement (ID)
discipline is to form practical skills in various types of speech activity in a foreign language. The training course builds the ability to perceive, understand and translate information in the modern global space, participate in scientific events to test their own research. The discipline is aimed at improving competencies in accordance with international standards of	2. To apply statheir professional translation terms assays, proposed 4. To enhance including pressional professional translation terms are says, proposed to the says of the s	trategies for onal field (exts).	reading and its general document, well-stream to their spanning and its general document.	nterpreting textured acapecialization (e	demic and e.g., reports,	research parcontracts). 1.2 Demonsummarize in professional 2.1 Identified from compositions and understand 3.1 Applies structures professional policy reports arguments professional 4.1 Analysistrategies,	ps logical, well-organized using appropriate lyriting standards. The verbal communication identifying strengths and approvement in presentations ions.

Prerequisites Professional Foreign Language Main Literature: 1. Graff, G., & Birkenstein, C. (2024). They Say /1 Say: The Moves That Matter in Acad Writing (6th ed.), W.W. Norton & Company. 2. Crystal, D. (2012). English as a Global Language (2nd ed.), Cambridge University Pres Say. Swales, J. M., & Feak, C. B. (2012). Academic Writing for Graduate Students: Essentic and Skills (3rd ed.), University of Michigan Press, 440 p. 4. Gillet, A. (2013). Speak for Fourself: Oral Presentation in English (2nd ed.), Garnet Ed. 160 p. 5. Bailey, S. (2018). Academic Writing: A Handbook for International Students: Essentic and Skills (3rd ed.). University of Michigan Press, 440 p. 6. Garner, B. A. (2016). Garner's Modern English Usage (4th ed.). Oxford University Presp. 7. McCarthy, M., & O'Dell, F. (2017). Academic Vocabulary in Use (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press, 176 p. 8. Alearaz Varó, E. (2014). Legal Translation Explained. Routledge, 296 p. 9. Mattila, H. E. S. (2006). Comparative Legal Linguistics: Language of Law, Latin, and J. Lingua Francas. Ashgate Publishing, 398 p. 10. Kahane, R. (2014). Legal English for International Lawyers (2nd ed.). Palgrave Macmil p. Additional Literature: 1. Oster, U., & Guillen Nieto, V. (2020). Teaching Legal English: A Practical Guide. Palg Macmillan, 220 p. 2. Bhatia, V. K. (2014). Language and the Law (2nd ed.), Routledge, 336 p. 3. Gibbons, J. (2014). Language and the Law (2nd ed.), Routledge, 336 p. 4. Northeott, J. (2013). Teaching Legal English (2nd ed.), Oxford University Press, 200 p. 5. Kramsch, C. (2009). The Multilingual Subject: What Foreign Language Learners Say of Their Experience and Why It Matters. Oxford University Press, 258 p. Professional Scientific Databases: 1. Scopus: https://www.scopus.com 2. ScienceDirect: thtps://www.sciencedirect.com 3. JSTOR: https://www.sciencedirect.com 3. JSTOR: https://www.sciencedirect.com 5. Taylor & Francis Online: https://www.landfonline.com 6. ERIC: https://www.sciencedirect.com 3. Oxford English Dictio		5. To evaluate peer and professional work (written or oral) in their field, providing constructive feedback.	5.1 Critically assesses the clarity organization, and relevance o professional written work (e.g. proposals, case studies).
Postrequisites Learning Resources Main Literature: 1. Graff, G., & Birkenstein, C. (2024). They Say / 1 Say: The Moves That Matter in Acad Writing (6th ed.). W.W. Norton & Company. 2. Crystal, D. (2012). English as a Global Language (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Pres Sayles, J. M., & Feak, C. B. (2012). Academic Writing for Graduate Students: Essentia and Skills (3rd ed.). University of Michigan Press, 440 p. 4. Gillet, A. (2013). Speak for Yourself: Oral Presentation in English (2nd ed.). Garnet Ed. 160 p. 5. Bailey, S. (2018). Academic Writing: A Handbook for International Students (5th ed.). Routledge, 314 p. 6. Garner, B. A. (2016). Garner's Modern English Usage (4th ed.). Oxford University Pres. 7. McCarthy, M., & O'Dell, F. (2017). Academic Vocabulary in Use (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press, 176 p. 8. Alcaraz Varó, E. (2014). Legal Translation Explained. Routledge, 296 p. 9. Mattila, H. E. S. (2006). Comparative Legal Linguistics: Language of Law, Latin, and Lingua Francas. Ashgate Publishing, 398 p. 10. Kahane, R. (2014). Legal English for International Lawyers (2nd ed.). Palgrave Macmillan, 220 p. Additional Literature: 1. Oster, U., & Guillén Nieto, V. (2020). Teaching Legal English: A Practical Guide. Palg Macmillan, 220 p. 2. Bhatia, V. K. (2014). Worlds of Written Discourse: A Genre-Based View. Bloomsbury Publishing, 288 p. 3. Gibbons, J. (2014). Language and the Law (2nd ed.). Routledge, 336 p. 4. Northcott, J. (2013). Teaching Legal English (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press, 200 p. 5. Kramsch, C. (2009). The Multilingual Subject: What Foreign Language Learners Say at Their Experience and Why It Matters. Oxford University Press, 258 p. Professional Scientific Databases: 1. Scopus: https://www.sciencedirect.com 3. JSTOR: https://www.sciencedirect.com 3. JSTOR: https://www.sciencedirect.com 5. Taylor & Francis Online: https://www.tandfonline.com 6. ERIC: https://www.sciencedirect.com 3. Usford English Dictionary: www.collinsdictionary.com 5. The Guardian: www.theguard			5.2 Provides detailed feedback or spoken and written responses using appropriate criteria and professional
Resources Main Literature: 1. Graff, G., & Birkenstein, C. (2024). They Say / 1 Say: The Moves That Matter in Acad Writing (6th ed.). W.W. Norton & Company. 2. Crystal, D. (2012). English as a Global Language (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Pres Sayles, J. (2012). English as a Global Language (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Pres Sayles, J. M., & Feak, C. B. (2012). Academic Writing for Graduate Students: Essentic and Skills (3rd ed.). University of Michigan Press, 440 p. 4. Gillet, A. (2013). Speak for Yourself: Oral Presentation in English (2nd ed.). Garnet Ed. (60 p. 5. Bailey, S. (2018). Academic Writing: A Handbook for International Students (5th ed.). Routledge, 314 p. 6. Garner, B. A. (2016). Garner's Modern English Usage (4th ed.). Oxford University Prep. 7. McCarthy, M., & O'Dell, F. (2017). Academic Vocabulary in Use (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press, 176 p. 8. Alearaz Varó, E. (2014). Legal Translation Explained. Routledge, 296 p. 9. Mattila, H. E. S. (2006). Comparative Legal Linguistics: Language of Law, Latin, and Lingua Francas. Ashgate Publishing, 398 p. 10. Kahane, R. (2014). Legal English for International Lawyers (2nd ed.). Palgrave Macmillan, 220 p. Additional Literature: 1. Oster, U., & Guillén Nieto, V. (2020). Teaching Legal English: A Practical Guide. Palg Macmillan, 220 p. 2. Bhatia, V. K. (2014). Worlds of Written Discourse: A Genre-Based View. Bloomsbury Publishing, 288 p. 3. Gibbons, J. (2014). Language and the Law (2nd ed.). Routledge, 336 p. 4. Northcott, J. (2013). Teaching Legal English (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press, 200 p. 5. Kramsch, C. (2009). The Multilingual Subject: What Foreign Language Learners Say of Their Experience and Why It Matters. Oxford University Press, 258 p. Professional Scientific Databases: 1. Scopus: https://www.sciencedirect.com 3. JSTOR: https://www.sciencedirect.com 3. JSTOR: https://www.sciencedirect.com 5. Taylor & Francis Online: https://www.sciencedirect.com 6. ERIC: https://civ.ed.gov.	Prerequisites	Professional Foreign Language	standards.
Resources 1. Graff, G., & Birkenstein, C. (2024). They Say / 1 Say: The Moves That Matter in Acad Writing (6th ed.), W.W. Norton & Company. 2. Crystal, D. (2012). English as a Global Language (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Pres. 3. Swales, J. M., & Feak, C. B. (2012). Academic Writing for Graduate Students: Essentic and Skills (3rd ed.). University of Michigan Press, 440 p. 4. Gillet, A. (2013). Speak for Yourself: Oral Presentation in English (2nd ed.). Garnet Ed. 160 p. 5. Bailey, S. (2018). Academic Writing: A Handbook for International Students (5th ed.). Routledge, 314 p. 6. Garner, B. A. (2016). Garner's Modern English Usage (4th ed.). Oxford University Prep., 7. McCarthy, M., & O'Dell, F. (2017). Academic Vocabulary in Use (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press, 176 p. 8. Alcaraz Varó, E. (2014). Legal Translation Explained. Routledge, 296 p. 9. Mattila, H. E. S. (2006). Comparative Legal Linguistics: Language of Law, Latin, and Lingua Francas. Ashgate Publishing, 398 p. 10. Kahane, R. (2014). Legal English for International Lawyers (2nd ed.). Palgrave Macmil p. Additional Literature: 1. Oster, U., & Guillen Nieto, V. (2020). Teaching Legal English: A Practical Guide. Palg Macmillan, 220 p. 2. Bhatia, V. K. (2014). Worlds of Written Discourse: A Genre-Based View. Bloomsbury Publishing, 288 p. 3. Gibbons, J. (2014). Language and the Law (2nd ed.). Routledge, 336 p. 4. Northcott, J. (2013). Teaching Legal English (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press, 200 p. 5. Kramsch, C. (2009). The Multilingual Subject: What Foreign Language Learners Say a Their Experience and Why It Matters. Oxford University Press, 258 p. Professional Scientific Databases: 1. Scopus: https://www.sciencedirect.com 3. JSTOR: https://www.sciencedirect.com 3. JSTOR: https://www.sciencedirect.com 5. Taylor & Francis Online: https://www.stiencedirect.com 6. ERIC: https://ex.ed.gov.	Postrequisites	- Sir Dangaage	
1. Graff, G., & Birkenstein, C. (2024). They Say / 1 Say: The Moves That Matter in Acad Writing (6th ed.). W.W. Norton & Company. 2. Crystal, D. (2012). English as a Global Language (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Pres Swales, J. M., & Feak, C. B. (2012). Academic Writing for Graduate Students: Essentic and Skills (3rd ed.). University of Michigan Press, 440 p. 4. Gillet, A. (2013). Speak for Yourself: Oral Presentation in English (2nd ed.). Garnet Edi 160 p. 5. Bailey, S. (2018). Academic Writing: A Handbook for International Students (5th ed.). Routledge, 314 p. 6. Garner, B. A. (2016). Garner's Modern English Usage (4th ed.). Oxford University Prep. 7. McCarthy, M., & O'Dell, F. (2017). Academic Vocabulary in Use (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press, 176 p. 8. Alcaraz Varó, E. (2014). Legal Translation Explained. Routledge, 296 p. 9. Mattila, H. E. S. (2006). Comparative Legal Linguistics: Language of Law, Latin, and Lingua Francas. Ashgate Publishing, 398 p. 10. Kahane, R. (2014). Legal English for International Lawyers (2nd ed.). Palgrave Macmi p. Additional Literature: 1. Oster, U., & Guillen Nieto, V. (2020). Teaching Legal English: A Practical Guide. Palg Macmillan, 220 p. 2. Bhatia, V. K. (2014). Worlds of Written Discourse: A Genre-Based View. Bloomsbury Publishing, 288 p. 3. Gibbons, J. (2014). Language and the Law (2nd ed.). Routledge, 336 p. 4. Northcott, J. (2013). Teaching Legal English (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press, 200 p. 5. Kramsch, C. (2009). The Multilingual Subject: What Foreign Language Learners Say a Their Experience and Why It Matters. Oxford University Press, 258 p. Professional Scientific Databases: 1. Scopus: https://www.sciencedirect.com 3. JSTOR: https://www.sciencedirect.com 4. ScienceDirect: https://www.sciencedirect.com 5. Taylor & Francis Online: https://www.stiencedirect.com 6. ERIC: https://www.sciencedirect.com 7. Taylor & Francis Online: https://www.tandfonline.com 6. ERIC: https://www.sciencedirect.com 7. Taylor & Francis Online: https://www.sciencedirect.com 7. Taylo	Learning	Main Literatures	
4. Northcott, J. (2013). Teaching Legal English (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press, 200 p. 5. Kramsch, C. (2009). The Multilingual Subject: What Foreign Language Learners Say at Their Experience and Why It Matters. Oxford University Press, 258 p. Professional Scientific Databases: 1. Scopus: https://www.scopus.com 2. ScienceDirect: https://www.sciencedirect.com 3. JSTOR: https://www.jstor.org 4. Wiley Online Library: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com 5. Taylor & Francis Online: https://www.tandfonline.com 6. ERIC: https://eric.ed.gov Internet Resources: 1. Multitran English-Russian Online Dictionary: www.multitran.com 2. LingvoLive Russian-English Dictionary: www.lingvolive.com 3. Oxford English Dictionary: www.oed.com 4. Collins English Dictionary: www.collinsdictionary.com 5. The Guardian: www.theguardian.com	Resources	 Graff, G., & Birkenstein, C. (2024). They Say / I Say: Writing (6th ed.). W.W. Norton & Company. Crystal, D. (2012). English as a Global Language (2nd.). Swales, J. M., & Feak, C. B. (2012). Academic Writing and Skills (3rd ed.). University of Michigan Press, 440 p. Gillet, A. (2013). Speak for Yourself: Oral Presentation 160 p. Bailey, S. (2018). Academic Writing: A Handbook for International University Press, 176 p. McCarthy, M., & O'Dell, F. (2017). Academic Vocabust University Press, 176 p. Alcaraz Varó, E. (2014). Legal Translation Explained. Mattila, H. E. S. (2006). Comparative Legal Linguistics Lingua Francas. Ashgate Publishing, 398 p. Kahane, R. (2014). Legal English for International Law p. Additional Literature: Oster, U., & Guillén Nieto, V. (2020). Teaching Legal Macmillan, 220 p. Bhatia, V. K. (2014). Worlds of Written Discourse: A Guillishing, 288 p. 	ed.). Cambridge University Press, 272 p. for Graduate Students: Essential Tasks in English (2nd ed.). Garnet Education, international Students (5th ed.). (4th ed.). Oxford University Press, 1056 lary in Use (2nd ed.). Cambridge Routledge, 296 p. s.: Language of Law, Latin, and Modern eyers (2nd ed.). Palgrave Macmillan, 225 English: A Practical Guide. Palgrave Genre-Based View. Bloomsbury
7. TED Talks: www.ted.com/talks 8. Oxford Learners' Dictionaries: www.oxfordlearnersdictionaries.com		 Kramsch, C. (2009). The Multilingual Subject: What For Their Experience and Why It Matters. Oxford University Professional Scientific Databases: Scopus: https://www.scopus.com ScienceDirect: https://www.sciencedirect.com JSTOR: https://www.jstor.org Wiley Online Library: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com Taylor & Francis Online: https://www.tandfonline.com ERIC: https://eric.ed.gov Internet Resources: Multitran English-Russian Online Dictionary: www.lingvold Oxford English Dictionary: www.oed.com Collins English Dictionary: www.collinsdictionary.com The Guardian: www.theguardian.com BBC Learning English: www.bbc.co.uk/learningenglish TED Talks: www.ted.com/talks 	Oxford University Press, 200 p. oreign Language Learners Say about y Press, 258 p. multitran.com live.com

cademic course policy

The academic policy of the course is determined by the Academic Policy and the Policy of Academic Integrity of Al-Farabi Kazakh National University.

Documents are available on the main page of IS Univer.

Integration of science and education. The research work of students, undergraduates and doctoral students is a deepening of the educational process. It is organized directly at the departments, laboratories, scientific and design departments of the university, in student scientific and technical associations. Independent work of students at all levels of education is aimed at developing research skills and competencies based on obtaining new knowledge using modern research and information technologies. A research university teacher integrates the results of scientific activities into the topics of lectures and seminars (practical) classes, laboratory classes and into the tasks of the IWST, IWS, which are reflected in the syllabus and are responsible for the relevance of the topics of training sessions and assignments.

Attendance. The deadline for each task is indicated in the calendar (schedule) for the implementation of the content of the course. Failure to meet deadlines results in loss of points.

Academic honesty. Practical/laboratory classes, IWS develop the student's independence, critical thinking, and creativity. Plagiarism, forgery, the use of cheat sheets, cheating at all stages of completing tasks are unacceptable.

Compliance with academic honesty during the period of theoretical training and at exams, in addition to the main policies, is regulated by the "Rules for the final control", "Instructions for the final control of the autumn / spring semester of the current academic year", "Regulations on checking students' text documents for borrowings".

Documents are available on the main page of IS Univer.

Basic principles of inclusive education. The educational environment of the university is conceived as a safe place where there is always support and equal attitude from the teacher to all students and students to each other, regardless of gender, race / ethnicity, religious beliefs, socio-economic status, physical health of the student, etc. All people need the support and friendship of peers and fellow students. For all students, progress is more about what they can do than what they can't. Diversity enhances all aspects of life.

All students, especially those with disabilities, can receive counseling assistance by e- mail asan.kanagat@alumni.nu.edu.kz

(https://us05web.zoom.us/launch/chat?src=direct_chat_link&email=asan.kanagat@alumni.nu.edu.kz).

Integration MOOC (massive open online course). In the case of integrating MOOC into the course, all students need to register for MOOC. The deadlines for passing MOOC modules must be strictly observed in accordance with the course study schedule.

ATTENTION! The deadline for each task is indicated in the calendar (schedule) for the implementation of the content of the course, as well as in the MOOC. Failure to meet deadlines results in loss of points.

Score-rat achievem		of assessment of	f accounting for educational	Assessment Methods	
Grade	Digital equivalent points	points, % content	Assessment according to the traditional system	Criteria-based assessment is the process of with expected learning outcomes based on formative and summative assessment.	clearly defined criteria. Based on
A	4.0 _	95-100	Great	Formative assessment is a type of assessme daily learning activities. It is the current	measure of progress. Provides an
A-	3.67	90-94		operational relationship between the studen determine the capabilities of the student, id	entify difficulties, help achieve the
B+	3.33	85-89	Fine	best results, timely correct the education performance of tasks, the activity of work seminars, practical exercises (discussions	in the classroom during lectures,
				laboratory work, etc.) are evaluated. Acquire assessed. Summative assessment - type of assess completion of the study of the section in a course. Conducted 3-4 times per semester assessment of mastering the expected leadescriptors. Allows you to determine and fix a certain period. Learning outcomes are evaluated.	sment, which is carried out upon accordance with the program of the when performing IWS. This is the arning outcomes in relation to the the level of mastering the course for luated.
В	3.0	80-84		Formative and summative assessment	Points % content
B-	2.67	75-79		Attendance	5
	2.33	70-74		Work in practical classes	25
<u>C</u> +	2.0	65-69	Satisfactorily	Independent work	20
0	+	60-64		Design and creative activity	10
C- D+	1.67	55-59	Unsatisfactory	Final control (exam)	40

1.0	50-54			
		1	TOTAL	100
				^
				ching and learning

A	alendar (schedule) for the implementation of the content of the course. Methods of teaching an		
Practic al lesson	Topic name	Number of hours	Max. ball
resson	Module 1: Foundations of Professional Communication		
1	Practical lesson 1: Introduction to Academic and Professional English	3	9
	Description: Introduction to the course, expectations, and overview of the types of texts students will	,	,
	encounter in their fields. Focus on the characteristics of professional English in academic and professional	100	
	contexts. Students will review key differences between general and professional English.		
2	Practical lesson 2: Writing Professional Emails and Correspondence	3	9
	Description : Practical exercises on writing formal emails, memos, and business correspondence. Emphasis on tone, formality, and structure appropriate for professional environments in International Relations, Law, and Economics.		
	IWST 1 Research the types of documents (e.g., reports, treaties, contracts) used in your field (International	1	
	Relations, World Economy, Translation Studies, or Law) and write a one-page summary of their key features.		
3	Practical lesson 3: Reading Academic Texts in Your Field	3	9
	Description: Introduction to skimming, scanning, and critical reading strategies for research articles, policy		
	briefs, and legal documents. Focus on extracting key arguments and evidence from professional texts in their		
	fields.		
4	Practical lesson 4: Writing Research Papers and Reports	3	9
	Description : Focus on structuring professional reports and research papers. Students will practice writing introductions, literature reviews, and conclusions, using discipline-specific language for International Relations, Economics, and Law.		
5	Practical lesson 5: Understanding and Writing Legal Documents	3	9
	Description: Introduction to legal English. Focus on reading and writing contracts, treaties, and legal briefs.		
	Emphasis on precision, clarity, and terminology commonly used in legal contexts.		
	IWST 2. Consultation on the implementation of IWS 1	1	
	IWS 1. Practice test 1-2	1	17
	Module 2: Advanced Professional Skills and Vocabulary		
6	Practical lesson 6: Academic Vocabulary Development	3	9
	Description : Vocabulary-building exercises focusing on high-frequency academic and field-specific words. Use of glossaries, thesauruses, and academic vocabulary lists in law, economics, and international relations.		
		1	
	IWST 3. Consultations on the implementation of IWS 2 Practical lesson 7: Oral Presentations in a Professional Context	- 1	9
7	Description: Practical exercises in preparing and delivering formal oral presentations, including using	3	9
	appropriate visual aids. Focus on presenting academic research, policy recommendations, or legal arguments		
	effectively.		
	IWS 2. Midterm control assignments	25	20
1idterm	control 1		100
8	Practical lesson 8: Negotiation Skills and Diplomacy Language	3	7
	Description: Students will engage in role-playing scenarios simulating diplomatic negotiations or business		
	discussions. Focus on the language of persuasion, negotiation tactics, and conflict resolution.		
	IWST 4. Consultations on the implementation of IWS 3	1	
- 9	Practical lesson 9: Writing Policy Briefs and Executive Summaries	3	8
	Description: Practice writing concise, clear policy briefs and executive summaries that present complex		
	information to a non-specialist audience. Emphasis on clarity and brevity.		
10	Practical lesson 10: Translation and Interpretation Skills for Professional Contexts	3	8
	Description: Practical translation exercises from English to students' native languages and vice versa,		
	focusing on legal and professional documents. Emphasis on maintaining meaning, tone, and accuracy.		
	Module 3: Critical Thinking and Cross-Cultural Communication	2	8
11	Practical lesson 11: Cross-Cultural Communication and Professional Etiquette	3	0
	Description : Understanding the impact of culture on communication in international professional settings.		
	Focus on the language and behavior expected in global business, diplomatic, and legal interactions. IWS 3. Assignment: Practice Test 3-4	24	17
ì	INVC 7 Application TAULIA		

	1
1	
3	- 8
1	
3	8
3	8
]	
25	20
	100
	100
	100
	3

ФАКУЛЬТЕТІ

Dean of International Relations Faculty

Chair of the Academic Committee
on the Quality of Teaching and Learning

Head of Diplomatic Translation Department

Senior Lecturer

Sairambaeva Zh.T.

Yerimpasheva A.T.

Murzagaliyeva M.K.

Assan K.A.

RUBRICATOR OF THE SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT

CRITERIA EVALUATION OF LEARNING OUTCOMES

Speaking Skills 90-100% Demonstrates advanced fluency, clear articulation, and professional vocabulary. Answers are well-structured and culturally appropriate. Writing Skills 90-100% Produces coherent, well organized, and error-free professional documents. Uses appropriate tone and style. 90-100% Understands complex, professional-level audio materials with ease. Identifies key details, implications, and professional terminology accurately. Reading Skills 90-100% Reads and analyzes professional texts with ease, demonstrating strong comprehension of vocabulary, structure, and context. Professional 90-100% Demonstrates extensive and precise use of professional	Max. weight in % 90-100% Demonstrates advanced fluency, clear articulation, and professional vocabulary. Answers are well-structured and culturally appropriate. 90-100% Produces coherent, well- professional documents. Uses appropriate tone and style. 90-100% Understands complex, professional-level audio materials with ease. Identifies key details, mplications, and professional erminology accurately. 00-100% Reads and analyzes professional texts with ease,	75-89% Speaks with minor hesitation, good vocabulary usage, and acceptable articulation. Ideas are mostly clear with minor errors. 75-89% Produces organized writing with minor errors. Tone and style are suitable for professional contexts. 75-89% Understands most professional audio materials with minor misunderstandings. Can identify main ideas and specific details.	60-74% Limited fluency and vocabulary for professional contexts. Sentences are basic, with frequent pauses and noticeable errors. 60-74% Writing shows limited organization and frequent grammatical errors. Tone and style are inconsistent with professional standards. 60-74% Struggles with complex audio materials. Understands basic content but misses key details or professional nuances.	0-59% Struggles to express ideas clearly. Limited vocabulary, frequent errors, and lack of fluency hinder professional communication. 0-59% Writing lacks coherence, contains multiple errors, and is inappropriate for professional communication. 0-59% Fails to comprehend professional-level audio materials. Misses main ideas and critical details.
kills grant stills grant still g	ation, an ary. Ans ary. Ans ary. Ans ary. Ans ary. Ans ary. Itee the collum at a complete com	75-89% Speaks with minor hesitation, good vocabulary usage, and acceptable articulation. Ideas are mostly clear with minor errors. 75-89% Produces organized writing with minor errors. Tone and style are suitable for professional contexts. 75-89% Understands most professional audio materials with minor misunderstandings. Can identify main ideas and specific details.	60-74% Limited fluency and vocabulary for professional contexts. Sentences are basic, with frequent pauses and noticeable errors. 60-74% Writing shows limited organization and frequent grammatical errors. Tone and style are inconsistent with professional standards. 60-74% Struggles with complex audio materials. Understands basic content but misses key details or professional nuances.	quent errors, and and lacks coherence, appropriate for promprehend professes main ide
kills kills g	ary. Ans ary. Ans ary. Ans ary. Ans oherent, free atyle. Style. Is completely dio mate fessiona fessiona by.	good vocabulary usage, and acceptable articulation. Ideas are mostly clear with minor errors. 75-89% Produces organized writing with minor errors. Tone and style are suitable for professional contexts. 75-89% Understands most professional audio materials with minor misunderstandings. Can identify main ideas and specific details.	for professional contexts. Sentences are basic, with frequent pauses and noticeable errors. 60-74% Writing shows limited organization and frequent grammatical errors. Tone and style are inconsistent with professional standards. 60-74% Struggles with complex audio materials. Understands basic content but misses key details or professional nuances.	nal communicat lacks coherence, appropriate for promprehend profe Misses main ide
kills kills g	ary. Ans nd cultur oherent, free nts. Uses style. Is completely dio mate fessiona fessiona ly.	articulation. Ideas are mostly clear with minor errors. 75-89% Produces organized writing with minor errors. Tone and style are suitable for professional contexts. 75-89% Understands most professional audio materials with minor misunderstandings. Can identify main ideas and specific details.	basic, with frequent pauses and noticeable errors. 60-74% Writing shows limited organization and frequent grammatical errors. Tone and style are inconsistent with professional standards. 60-74% Struggles with complex audio materials. Understands basic content but misses key details or professional nuances.	lacks coherence, appropriate for promprehend profe Misses main ide
kills kills	oherent, free nts. Uses style. Is compl dio mate key deta fessiona ly. h ease,	minor errors. 75-89% Produces organized writing with minor errors. Tone and style are suitable for professional contexts. 75-89% Understands most professional audio materials with minor misunderstandings. Can identify main ideas and specific details.	60-74% Writing shows limited organization and frequent grammatical errors. Tone and style are inconsistent with professional standards. 60-74% Struggles with complex audio materials. Understands basic content but misses key details or professional nuances.	lacks coherence, appropriate for promprehend profe Misses main ide
siis kiils	oherent, free nts. Uses style. Is compled to mate dio mate dio mate dio mate dio mate lis siona ly. h ease,	75-89% Produces organized writing with minor errors. Tone and style are suitable for professional contexts. 75-89% Understands most professional audio materials with minor misunderstandings. Can identify main ideas and specific details. 75-89% Reads and understands	60-74% Writing shows limited organization and frequent grammatical errors. Tone and style are inconsistent with professional standards. 60-74% Struggles with complex audio materials. Understands basic content but misses key details or professional nuances.	lacks coherence, appropriate for promprehend profe Misses main ide
kills lils	oherent, free nts. Uses style. Is compl dio mate key deta fessiona fessiona ly. h ease,	with minor errors. Tone and style are suitable for professional contexts. 75-89% Understands most professional audio materials with minor misunderstandings. Can identify main ideas and specific details.	organization and frequent grammatical errors. Tone and style are inconsistent with professional standards. 60-74% Struggles with complex audio materials. Understands basic content but misses key details or professional nuances.	omprehend prof
kills	uments. Uses and style. stands complex, el audio materials fies key details, l professional arrately. and analyzes s with ease,	with minor errors. Tone and style are suitable for professional contexts. 75-89% Understands most professional audio materials with minor misunderstandings. Can identify main ideas and specific details.	organization and frequent grammatical errors. Tone and style are inconsistent with professional standards. 60-74% Struggles with complex audio materials. Understands basic content but misses key details or professional nuances.	omprehend professiona Misses main ideas and
kills	and style. stands complex, el audio materials fies key details, I professional and analyzes s with ease,	suitable for professional contexts. 75-89% Understands most professional audio materials with minor misunderstandings. Can identify main ideas and specific details. 75-89% Reads and understands	with professional standards. 60-74% Struggles with complex audio materials, Understands basic content but misses key details or professional nuances.	omprehend professiona Misses main ideas and
kills Ills	and style. stands complex, el audio materials fies key details, l professional arrately. and analyzes s with ease,	75-89% Understands most professional audio materials with minor misunderstandings. Can identify main ideas and specific details. 75-89% Reads and understands	with professional standards. 60-74% Struggles with complex audio materials. Understands basic content but misses key details or professional nuances.	omprehend professiona Misses main ideas and
kills sills	stands complex, el audio materials fies key details, l professional arately. and analyzes s with ease,	75-89% Understands most professional audio materials with minor misunderstandings. Can identify main ideas and specific details. 75-89% Reads and understands	60-74% Struggles with complex audio materials, Understands basic content but misses key details or professional nuances.	omprehend professiona Misses main ideas and
SIII S	of audio materials fies key details, I professional arrately. and analyzes s with ease,	audio materials with minor misunderstandings. Can identify main ideas and specific details. 75-89% Reads and understands	materials. Understands basic content but misses key details or professional nuances.	Misses main ideas and
SIII	fies key details, I professional Irately. and analyzes s with ease,	misunderstandings. Can identify main ideas and specific details. 75-89% Reads and understands	misses key details or professional nuances.	details.
Ills	I professional arrately. and analyzes s with ease,	ideas and specific details. 75-89% Reads and understands	nuances.	
IIIs	and analyzes s with ease,	75-89% Reads and understands	,	
ills	and analyzes s with ease,	75-89% Reads and understands		
	s with ease,		60-74% Struggles with professional texts.	0-59% Fails to understand key points in
		professional texts with some effort.	Relies on basic comprehension and	professional texts. Vocabulary and comprehension
	rong	May require clarification of complex	misses nuanced meanings or specific	are insufficient for academic or professional
	f vocabulary,	vocabulary or nuances.	terminology.	purposes.
	ntext.			
	100% Demonstrates extensive	75-89% Uses a wide range of	60-74% Limited range of professional	0-59% Insufficient vocabulary for professional
	f professional	professional vocabulary with minor	vocabulary. Misuses terminology or lacks	uage, fai
terminology releva	terminology relevant to their field.	inaccuracies.	precision in a professional context.	field-specific expectations.
Presentation Skills 90-100% Delivers clear,	s clear, well-	75-89% Presents organized ideas with	60-74% Presentation lacks structure or	0-59% Presentation is unclear, unorganized, and
struc	gaging	minor flaws in delivery or structure.	clarity. Struggles with delivery, use of	elivery. Does not use vis
professional presentations. Uses	_	Visual aids are used adequately, and	visual aids, or responding to questions	aids effectively and fails to respond to questions.
visual aids effectively and answers	and answers	most questions are answered	effectively.	
questions confidently.		confidently.		
and	_	75-89% Demonstrates good grammar	0.3	0-59% Significant grammatical errors severely
Accuracy grammar with no or minimal errors.	_	with occasional errors, Sentence	limited variety in sentence structures.	 Limited under
Sentence structures are complex		structures are mostly appropriate for	Errors may obscure meaning in	professional grammar structures.
and appropriate for professional		professional contexts.	professional communication.	